

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,004	10/01/2003	Christina Hsu	200208014-1	7237	
22879 75	22879 7590 08/07/2006			EXAMINER	
	ACKARD COMPANY	PHAM, THAI V			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/677,004	HSU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thai Van Pham	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 C	October 2003.				
·	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	l.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .				
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/01-2003</u> is/are: a)		v the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,	, , , , ,			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	, , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/01/2003</u> .	6) Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/677,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

This is the initial office action based on the application filed on August 1, 2006. Claims 1 – 24 are currently pending and have been considered below.

Examiner's Note

- 1. The following non-conventional technical terminologies used in the claim language limit the scope of the claim, which directly or indirectly (by means of a parent claim) refer to the terminologies, to their explicit definitions as disclosed in the application.
- -- A singleton object: is an object that exists in memory such that only one type of object exists at any time in memory. Once created, a singleton object is not destroyed after use, like most objects, but is kept in memory until accessed again.
- 2. The Examiner notes that it appears that the Applicant is attempting to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph in Claim 15, with the use of means-plus-function language in the claim. As disclosed in the specification of the application, each of the means for performing the steps of the system recited in the claim is constructed by a series of algorithmic steps implemented in software program instructions. Thus, the specification does not provide any specific physical structure for the features that could be read into the claim to limit the scope of the means for the components or steps constituting the claimed system. Therefore, The Examiner does not consider the specification to be adequate to invoke a 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph interpretation and furthermore, for the purpose of further claim analysis under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, The Examiner treats

Application/Control Number: 10/677,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

Claim 15 as a computer program containing machine-readable instructions stored on a physical medium for performing the steps recited in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 4. Claims 1 and 15 (see Examiner's Note above) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- -- As disclosed in the specification of the application, all components recited in the claim that constitute the claimed system are constructed of software program objects and/or instructions. Thus, the claimed system is considered a software program containing machine-executable instructions, per se (and not associated with any physical structure); therefore, it is non-statutory according to 35 U.S.C 101. For the purpose of further claim analysis under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, The Examiner treats both Claims 1 and 15 as a computer program containing machine-readable instructions stored on a physical medium for performing the method or steps recited in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,004

Art Unit: 2194

6. Claims 1 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by

Page 4

Kwong et al. (Building a Portlet within the Model-View-Controller Paradigm Using

WebSphere @ Portal).

-- Claims 1, 8, 15, and 22: Kwong et al. disclose a software program tangibly stored on

a machine readable medium containing computer readable instructions for performing

the method of creating web applications, the method comprising:

creating a controller that receives a request for data from a user and responds

to the request by sending information to the user (i.e., a portal and its inherent

properties; Page 1); and

• providing a configurator that loads configuration information for use by the

controller from a configuration file and stores the configuration information for

subsequent access (i.e., a portlet and its specified deployment descriptors and user

attributes; Page 2).

-- Claims 2, 9, and 16: Kwong et al. disclose the method of claim 1 (and 8, 15) and

further defining the configuration file to be a text properties configuration file (i.e., the

deployment descriptors, portlet.xml and web.xml, of a portlet).

-- Claims 3, 10, 17, and 23: **Kwong** et al. disclose the method of claim 1 (and 8, 15, 22)

and further adapting the configurator to store the configuration information as a

singleton object (i.e., a specific portlet in a portal; Page 2).

Art Unit: 2194

-- Claims 4, 11, and 18: **Kwong** et al. disclose the method of claim 1 (and 8, 15) and further defining the configuration information to comprise error handling information (i.e., defect list and error view; Fig. 3, page 4).

- -- Claims 5, 12, and 19: **Kwong** et al. disclose the method of claim 1 (and 8, 15) and further defining the configuration information to comprise log processing information (i.e., graphical display of events; Fig. 6, pages 5 8).
- -- Claims 6, 13, and 20: **Kwong** et al. disclose the method of claim (and 8,15) and further defining the configuration information to comprise data that is specific to each of a plurality of portals (i.e., a portlet and its associated sub-objects in a specific portal; Fig. 3, page 4).
- -- <u>Claims 7, 14, 21 and 24</u>: **Kwong** et al. disclose the method of claim 1 (and 8, 15, 22) and further adapting the configurator to read the configuration information upon initialization of the controller (i.e., portlet interface initialization, *init()*; Fig. 3, page 4).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-- Stefan Hepper and Stephan Hesmer: Introducing the Portlet Specification. August 2003, JavaWorld. This specification defines the contract between portlet and portlet container, and a set of portlet APIs that address personalization, presentation, and security. The specification also defines how to package portlets in portlet applications.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,004

Art Unit: 2194

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Van Pham whose telephone number is (571) 270-1064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Myhre can be reached on (571) 270-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TVP

8/1/2006

James Myhre

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 6